THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

W.P.Nos.4430, 5442 and 9423 OF 2007

DATED: 23.10.2007

W.P.No.4430 of 2007:

Between:

Smt V. Prameela

.. Petitioner

And

The Project Officer, Office of the Child Development Project Officer, Nakerekal, Nalgonda District and others.

.. Respondents

W.P.No.5442 of 2007:

Between:

Smt Kondapalli Shashikala

.. Petitioner

And

The Project Officer, Office of the Child Development Project Officer, Nakerekal, Nalgonda District and others.

.. Respondents

W.P.No.9423 of 2007:

Between:

Smt B. Soundarya

.. Petitioner

And

The Project Officer, Office of

the Child Development Project Officer, Narsampeta, Warangal District and others.

.. Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

W.P.Nos.4430, 5442 and 9423 OF 2007

COMMON ORDER:

In all these writ petitions, common questions of law and fact arise for consideration and, therefore, they are being disposed of by this common order.

The petitioners in all these three writ petitions have applied for the post of Anganwadi worker of various villages of Nalgonda and Warangal Districts. After the interviews were conducted and the selection process was completed, the present writ petitions have been filed stating that the unofficial respondents were selected though they are not eligible and qualified to be appointed as Anganwadi worker of the respective villages.

The 1st respondents in all the three writ petitions have filed detailed counter-affidavits stating that since the unofficial respondents have fulfilled the eligibility criteria and got more marks than the petitioners, they were selected for appointment and no irregularities have been committed in the selection process.

The unofficial respondents in W.P.No.4430 of 2007 and 5442 of 2007 have also filed counter-affidavits stating that they are the residents of the respective villages and got more marks than the respective petitioners and, therefore, they have been rightly selected. But, in view of the interim directions passed by this Court, appointment

orders were not issued till date. Insofar as W.P.No.9423 of 2007 is concerned, the appointment order could not be issued to the unofficial respondent therein in view of the pendency of the writ petition.

Under those circumstances, the interim orders passed in W.P.No.4430 of 2007 and 5442 of 2007 are vacated and the official respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioners as well as the unofficial respondents for appointment to the post of Anganwadi workers of respective villages, if they are otherwise eligible as per law.

With the above direction, the writ petitions are disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

C.V. RAMULU, J

23rd October, 2007.

IBL